Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



Environmental Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envres

Household chemical exposures and the risk of canine malignant lymphoma, a model for human non-Hodgkin's lymphoma

Biki B. Takashima-Uebelhoer^a, Lisa G. Barber^b, Sofija E. Zagarins^c, Elizabeth Procter-Gray^d, Audra L. Gollenberg^e, Antony S. Moore^{b,f}, Elizabeth R. Bertone-Johnson^{a,b,*}

^a Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, School of Public Health and Health Sciences, Arnold House,

University of Massachusetts, 715 North Pleasant Street, Amherst, MA 01003-9304, USA

^b Department of Clinical Sciences Oncology, Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine, Tufts University, 200 Westboro Road, North Grafton, MA 01536, USA

^c Department of Behavioral Medicine Research, Baystate Health Systems, 140 High Street, Room 223, Springfield, MA 01105, USA

^d Division of Preventive and Behavioral Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 55 Lake Avenue North, Worcester, MA 01655, USA

^e Shenandoah University, 1460 University Drive, Wincester, VA 22601, USA

^f Veterinary Oncology Consultants, 379 Lake Innes Drive, Wauchope, New South Wales 2446, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 April 2011 Received in revised form 20 September 2011 Accepted 6 December 2011 Available online 4 January 2012

Keywords: Lymphoma Non-Hodgkin Dogs Epidemiology Case-control studies Specialty uses of chemicals

ABSTRACT

Background: Epidemiologic studies of companion animals offer an important opportunity to identify risk factors for cancers in animals and humans. Canine malignant lymphoma (CML) has been established as a model for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL). Previous studies have suggested that exposure to environmental chemicals may relate to development of CML.

Methods: We assessed the relation of exposure to flea and tick control products and lawn-care products and risk of CML in a case–control study of dogs presented to a tertiary-care veterinary hospital (2000–2006). Cases were 263 dogs with biopsy-confirmed CML. Controls included 240 dogs with benign tumors and 230 dogs undergoing surgeries unrelated to cancer. Dog owners completed a 10-page questionnaire measuring demographic, environmental, and medical factors.

Results: After adjustment for age, weight, and other factors, use of specific lawn care products was associated with greater risk of CML. Specifically, the use of professionally applied pesticides was associated with a significant 70% higher risk of CML (odds ratio(OR)=1.7; 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.1–2.7). Risk was also higher in those reporting use of self-applied insect growth regulators (OR=2.7; 95% CI=1.1–6.8). The use of flea and tick control products was unrelated to risk of CML.

Conclusions: Results suggest that use of some lawn care chemicals may increase the risk of CML. Additional analyses are needed to evaluate whether specific chemicals in these products may be related to risk of CML, and perhaps to human NHL as well.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 2010, it was estimated that 65,540 men and women would be diagnosed with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) in the United States (Howlader, et al. 2011). Incidence of NHL has doubled since the 1970s, making it the sixth most common cancer, with a five year overall survival rate of 67%. Numerous studies have considered the potential association between agricultural chemical

E-mail addresses: biki@schoolph.umass.edu (B.B. Takashima-Uebelhoer), lisa.barber@tufts.edu (L.G. Barber), zagarins@gmail.com (S.E. Zagarins), lizgray79@hotmail.com (E. Procter-Gray),

algollenberg@gmail.com (A.L. Gollenberg),

voc@vetoncologyconsults.com (A.S. Moore),

ebertone@schoolph.umass.edu (E.R. Bertone-Johnson).

exposure and NHL, with inconsistent results (Hooiveld et al., 1998; McDuffie et al., 2001; Thorn et al., 2000) in part due to the likelihood of misclassification of exposure dose in populationbased studies, and the possibility of confounding by other environmental risk factors.

Epidemiologic studies of companion animals provide an opportunity to study the health effects of environmental risk factors that may be difficult to assess in humans, including herbicide and pesticide exposures. Canine cancers have been well established as appropriate models for studies of human cancers (Hayes, 1978). In particular, canine malignant lymphoma (CML) exhibits common clinical, pathologic, and histologic features as human NHL and responds similarly to treatment (Teske, 1994). The present study sought to evaluate the association between exposure to common household chemicals and risk of CML. The close interaction and shared household environment of dogs and their human owners provides a unique opportunity for evaluating

 $^{^{*}}$ Corresponding author at: Arnold House, University of Massachusetts, 715 North Pleasant Street, Amherst, MA 01003-9304, USA. Fax: $+\,1\,413\,545\,1645.$

^{0013-9351/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2011.12.003

how herbicide and pesticide exposure may contribute to human NHL. Only a small number of previous studies have evaluated whether herbicide and/or pesticide exposure increases risk of CML (Garabrant and Philbert, 2002; Gavazza et al. 2001; Kaneene and Miller, 1999), most focusing on the impact of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. However, results have been inconsistent and the association between other types of household chemicals and CML remains unknown.

2. Materials and methods

Between December 2006 and July 2007, we conducted a case-control study of CML at the Foster Hospital for Small Animals at Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine at Tufts University. The Foster Hospital is a large veterinary teaching hospital in central Massachusetts and serves as the referral hospital for the region. The institutional review boards and animal care and use committees at Tufts University and the University of Massachusetts Amherst reviewed and approved the protocol used in this study.

2.1. Case and control identification

Eligible cases were all dogs diagnosed with biopsy-confirmed malignant lymphoma presenting to the Foster Small Animal Hospital between January 2000 and December 2006 identified through review of oncology service records (n=624). Owners of eligible cases were mailed a questionnaire measuring demographic, environmental, and medical factors. After 2–3 months, non-responders were sent a second copy of the questionnaire. After two mailings, completed questionnaires were received from 263 (42%) of case owners.

We identified two groups of control dogs, which were individually matched to cases on age (± 1 year) and date of diagnosis (± 3 months). First, dogs with histologically confirmed benign tumors were identified through systematic handreview of pathology department records. To select a match, we chose the similarly-aged dog diagnosed closest in time to each CML case. Second, dogs with non-neoplastic chronic diseases were identified through hand-review of surgery department records. To select a match, we chose the similarly aged dog treated closest in time to each CML case. After two questionnaire mailings, completed questionnaires were received from owners of 245 (39%) benign tumor controls and 233 (37%) chronic disease control owners.

2.2. Exposure and covariate assessment

We sent the owners of eligible cases and controls a 10-page questionnaire inquiring about demographic and health-related characteristics and environmental exposures of their pet in the specified 1-year period prior to their dog's year of diagnosis. We first asked about the dog's year of birth, sex, breed (purebred, and specified breed or mix of breeds), when the dog was acquired, and the source of the dog (shelter, breeder, pet shop, stray, or other). Physical characteristics queried included owner's assessment of hair length (naturally short, groomed short, medium, or long), nose length (very short [i.e., brachycephalic], average [i.e., mesocephalic], or very long [i.e., dolichocephalic]), weight, body type (thin, average, slightly overweight, or overweight), and grooming care (less than 1/month, 1/month, 2–3/month, weekly or more). We asked about history of 19 types of health conditions including allergies, arthritis, thyroid disease, and kidney disease, and the date conditions were first diagnosed. Finally, we assessed the use of 40 common medications including glucosamine, chondroitin, cosequin, carprofen/Rimadyl, predniose, and oral antibiotics.

We assessed household exposure to a variety of environmental chemicals, including lawn-care products, flea and tick-control products, and other household chemicals via questionnaire. Owners were asked if their lawn was treated by a professional company and to specify the frequency of treatment, the types of products used (fertilizer, weed killer, insect killer, insect growth regulators, fungus killer, and/or rodent killer), and to indicate whether the products employed were "organic". For self-applied lawn care products, owners were asked about the type of products used (fertilizer, weed killer, insect killer, insect growth regulators, fungus killer, and/or rodent killer), the frequency of use, and to specify the brand used from a list of commonly available products.

We asked owners if they used flea/tick control products on their pet, and if so, to identify the type (flea or tick collar, powder/spray, shampoo, dip, pills, and drops) and frequency of use. For drops, we asked about the brand used (Frontline, Frontline Plus, or Frontline Top Spot; Advantage, K9 Advantix, or Biospot; Revolution; and/or other).

Finally, we asked about other aspects of the pet's living environment that might modify their exposure to environmental chemicals. Factors queried included household smoking, house size, house location, the amount of time the dog spent outdoors in summer and winter, and the dog's physical activity level.

2.3. Statistical analysis

We first compared demographic and health-related characteristics of the two control groups of dogs to determine if the groups were homogeneous and could be combined for comparison with CML cases for our main analyses. We compared mean ages between groups using a *t*-test and compared proportions using chi-square tests.

We then evaluated the association of household chemical exposures and CML risk using odds ratios and calculated 95% confidence intervals. Although cases and controls were initially matched by date of diagnosis and age, we chose to use unconditional logistic regression due to the relatively low response rates obtained. and adjusted for the matching factors (date of diagnosis and age) in our regression model. Multivariable logistic regression was used to further adjust for confounders. We retained a covariate in our regression model if its inclusion changed the odds ratio for the main exposure variable by $\geq 10\%$ or if it was independently associated with risk of CML (P < 0.20). Our final multivariable model included age at diagnosis (6 years or younger vs. older than 6 years), reference year (continuous), weight (less than 50 pounds vs. 50 pounds or heavier), body type (thin/average vs. overweight), previous history of cancer (ever vs. never), and use of any of three medications prior to reference year (chondroitin, cosequin, and prednisone). Other variables measured by questionnaire were evaluated but were not included in the analysis because they were not associated with chemical exposures or risk of malignant lymphoma in multivariable models, including location of residence and household smoking

We conducted stratified analyses to determine if the relations between household chemical exposures and CML risk varied by subject characteristics (age, sex, weight, pure vs. mixed breed), behaviors that may affect level of chemical exposure (time spent outdoors on lawns, reference year/time of exposure). Finally we performed sensitivity analyses comparing CML cases to each control group individually.

For all analyses, two-sided *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. With an alpha type-1 error set at 0.05, one association in twenty is expected to be significant by chance alone. All analyses were performed with STATA Version 10.1 (Stata software system; StataCorp, College Station, Texas)

3. Results

Characteristics of CML cases, benign tumor controls (BNG), and chronic disease controls (CHR) are presented in Table 1. Common diagnoses in the BNG group included lipoma, fibroma, sebaceous hyperplasia, trichoepithelioma, papilloma, nevus, adenoma, keratosis, and epithelioma. Common diagnoses and surgical procedures reported for dogs in the CHR group included cranial cruciate ligament rupture, medial patellar luxation, hepatic shunt, and degenerative myopathy.

The two control groups were similar with respect to all factors considered, with the exception of weight (p=0.001). Because of the similarity between BNG and CHR controls, we pooled these groups for all comparisons with cases. CML cases did not differ from controls in terms of most characteristics. However, CML cases were more likely to weigh greater than 50 pounds compared to controls (p < 0.001).

Age-adjusted and multivariable odds ratio for CML by exposure to flea and tick control products are presented in Table 2. CML cases and controls were similar in their use of any flea/tick control products, as well as their use of specific types of products including collars, powders or sprays, shampoos, dips, pills, or onespot drops. In addition, CML cases were not more likely than controls to report the use of multiple types of flea/tick control products.

Age-adjusted and multivariable odds ratio for malignant lymphoma associated with exposure to professionally administered lawn care products, self-applied lawn products, and either type of use are presented in Table 3. CML cases and controls did not differ in their overall exposure to lawn care products (any vs. none). However, cases were more likely to come from homes reporting use of professionally applied pesticides (odds ratio=1.7, 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.1–2.7; P=0.02). CML risk was higher in dogs exposed to herbicides, though results were marginally significant (OR=1.4; 95% CI=1.0–2.1, P=0.06). Exposure to other types of professionally applied lawn care chemical was not

Table 1

Characteristics of canine malignant lymphoma cases, benign tumor controls, and chronic disease controls.

Characteristic	Benign tumor controls (N=245)	Chronic disease controls ($N=233$)	P-value ^a	Cases (<i>N</i> =266)	<i>P</i> -value ^b
Age at diagnosis 7 years or younger Older than 7 years	123 (50.2%) 122 (49.8%)	124 (53.2%) 109 (46.8%)	0.51	147 (55.3%) 119(44.7%)	0.35
Sex Male Female	120 (50.2%) 119 (49.8%)	120 (52.6%) 108 (47.4%)	0.60	144 (54.1%) 122 (45.9%)	0.48
Purebred No Yes	50 (21.8%) 179 (78.2%)	50 (22.3%) 174 (77.7%)	0.90	65 (25.3%) 192 (74.7%)	0.33
Hair length Short (natural) Short (groomed) Medium Long	86 (35.4%) 41 (16.9%) 91 (37.5%) 25 (10.3%)	102 (44.0%) 32 (13.8%) 76 (32.8%) 22 (9.5%)	0.29	106 (39.9%) 38 (14.3%) 102 (38.4%) 20 (7.5%)	0.64
Nose length Very short or average Very long	218 (90.8%) 22 (9.2%)	200 (86.6%) 31 (13.4%)	0.14	244 (92.4%) 20 (7.6%)	0.11
Neutered/spayed No Yes	12 (5.1%) 225 (94.9%)	17 (7.3%) 215 (92.7%)	0.31	18 (6.9%) 244 (93.1%)	0.72
Household smoking None Any	188 (80.3%) 46 (19.7%)	187 (85.0%) 33 (15.0%)	0.19	208 (80.3%) 51 (19.7%)	0.45
Location of residence Urban Suburban Rural Farm	21 (8.8%) 150 (62.8) 64 (26.7%) 4 (1.7%)	20 (8.9%) 151 (67.1%) 52 (23.1%) 2 (0.9%)	0.68	23 (8.8%) 178 (67.7%) 53(20.2%) 9 (3.4%)	0.13
Type of residence Apartment or multi-family Single-family house	21 (8.9%) 216 (91.1%)	25 (11.1%) 200 (88.9%)	0.41	30 (8.7%) 241 (91.3%)	0.58
History of cancer None Any	195 (79.6%) 50 (20.4%)	200 (85.8%) 33 (14.2%)	0.07	206 (77.4%) 60 (22.6%)	0.09
Body type Thin or average Slightly overweight or overweight	162 (67.8%) 77 (32.3%)	168 (74.3%) 58 (25.7%)	0.12	188 (71.2%) 76 (28.8%)	0.94
Weight < 50 lbs ≥ 50 lbs	84 (35.0%) 156 (65.0%)	115 (50.4%) 113 (49.6%)	0.001	75 (28.7%) 186 (71.3%)	< 0.001
Time spent outdoors, cold days < 1 h per day ≥ 1 h per day	104 (62.7%) 62 (37.4%)	107 (60.8%) 69 (39.2%)	0.72	123 (69.1%) 55 (30.9%)	0.10
Time spent outdoor, warm days < 1 h per day ≥ 1 h per day	34 (21.7%) 123 (78.3%)	50 (30.7%) 113 (69.3%)	0.07	58 (33.0%) 118 (67%)	0.11
Cosequin use No Yes	234 (95.5%) 11 (4.5%)	218 (93.6%) 15 (6.4%)	0.35	250 (97.7%) 6 (2.3%)	0.04
Chondrotin use No Yes	232 (94.7%) 13 (5.3%)	215 (92.3%) 18 (7.7%)	0.28	257 (96.6%) 9 (3.4%)	0.07
Prednisone use No Yes	235 (95.9%) 10 (4.1%)	228 (97.9%) 5 (2.2%)	0.23	250 (94.0%) 16 (6.0%)	0.06

^a P-value comparing benign tumor and chronic disease control groups, based on chi-square test for categorical variables.

^b P-value comparing cases and pooled control groups, based on chi-square test for categorical variables.

associated with increased risk, though we observed the suggestion of a trend with the total number of professionally applied products used (P=0.06). CML cases were more likely to come from homes self-application of insect growth regulators (OR=2.7; 95% CI=1.1-6.8; 0.03). Other self-applied lawn care products including pesticides and herbicides were not associated with risk of CML. When professionally and self-applied use of products were evaluated simultaneously, we observed a positive association between use of pesticides and CML risk (OR=1.4; 95% CI=1.1-2.0; P=0.05).

Table 2

Age-adjusted and multivariate odds ratios for the association between exposure to flea/tick control products and canine malignant lymphoma, N=744.

Exposure	Cases	Controls (pooled)	Age-adjusted OR ^a (95% CI)	5% CI) Multivariate OR ^a (95% CI)	
	(<i>N</i> = 266)	(N=478)			
Use of any flea/tick control products No Yes	41 (15.9%) 217 (84.1%)	74 (15.9%) 391 (84.1%)	1.0 (0.7–1.5)	1.1 (0.7–1.7)	
Number of flea/tick control products used None 1 2 ≥ 3 P_{trend}	71 (26.7%) 161 (60.5%) 27 (10.2%) 7 (2.6%)	154 (32.2%) 261 (54.6%) 50 (10.5%) 13 (2.7%)	1 1.3 (0.9-1.9) 1.2 (0.7-2.0) 1.2 (0.4-3.1) 0.36	1 1.3 (0.9-1.9) 1.2 (0.7-2.1) 0.8 (0.4-3.0) 0.41	
Type of flea/tick control product Collar No Yes	238 (89.5%) 28 (10.5%)	432 (90.4%) 46 (9.6%)	1.1 (0.7-1.8)	1.2 (0.7-2.0)	
Powder/spray No Yes	256 (96.2%) 10 (3.8%)	465 (97.3%) 13 (2.7%)	1.4 (0.6-3.2)	1.5 (0.6-3.6)	
Shampoo No Yes	241 (90.6%) 25 (9.4%)	435 (91.0%) 43 (9.0%)	1.1 (0.6-1.8)	1.0 (0.6-1.8)	
Dip No Yes	260 (97.7%) 6 (2.3%)	466 (97.5%) 12 (2.5%)	0.9 (0.3-2.4)	0.9 (0.3-2.6)	
Pills No Yes Drops	250 (94.0%) 16 (6.0%)	450 (94.1%) 28 (5.9%)	1.0 (0.5-1.9)	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	
No Yes	115 (43.2%) 151 (56.8%)	220 (46.0%) 258 (54.0%)	1.1 (0.8-1.5)	1.1 (0.8-1.5)	
Brand of drops Frontline, Frontline Plus, or Frontline TopSpot Advantage, K9 Advantix, or Biospot Revolution Other	138 (78.4%) 31 (17.6%) 3 (1.7%) 4 (2.3%)	252 (78.0%) 54 (16.7%) 9 (2.8%) 8 (2.5%)	1 1.0 (0.6–1.7) 0.6 (0.2–2.3) 1.0 (0.7–1.5)	1 1.1 (0.6–1.7) 0.6 (0.2–2.4) 0.9 (0.3–3.0)	

^a OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Multivariate relative risks were adjusted for age, reference year, weight, history of cancer, and use of cosequin, chondroitin, and prednisone.

We saw no evidence of effect modification of the relationship between lawn care chemical exposure and CML by factors including reference year, time spent outdoors, weight, breed, sex, or age (results not shown). Results from sensitivity analyses comparing CML cases with each control group separately were very similar to the main analyses (results not shown). For example, CML cases reported more frequent exposure to professionally applied lawn care pesticides than both benign tumor controls (OR=1.7; 95% CI=1.0–2.8; P=0.05) and chronic disease controls (OR=1.7; 95% CI=1.0–3.0; P=0.06).

4. Discussion

In this case–control study of pet dogs, we observed evidence that exposure to specific lawn care chemicals was associated with greater risk of CML. In contrast, we did not find any association between use of any flea and tick control products and CML.

A small number of previous studies have evaluated the association between exposure to lawn care chemicals and risk of CML. A study by Hayes et al. (1991) observed a significant 30% increase in risk of CML in dogs living in homes where 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid had ever been applied to lawns by either the homeowner or a professional lawn care company. Relative risks were slightly higher when both types of application were reported (OR for owner and professional application vs.

none=1.39; 95% CI=0.95-1.87). In a subsequent analysis of these data aimed at addressing criticism raised by others (Carlo et al., 1992), results from analyses stratified by type of control group (tumors vs. non-tumors), method of data collection (mailed questionnaire vs. telephone interview), and specific herbicidal agent were similar to the original results (Hayes et al., 1995). However, a reanalysis of the study data by another research group did not support the authors' original conclusion that herbicide use modestly increased risk (Kaneene and Miller, 1999). A recent case-control study in Italy did not observe an increase in risk of CML in dogs exposed to pesticides (Gavazza et al., 2001), but did find a significant increase in risk in dogs of owners with occupations requiring chemical use and those living in urban areas.

Though the relationship between use of flea control products and risk of NHL in humans or CML in dogs had not been previously evaluated, several studies have reported increases in risk of other cancers in humans and companion animals (Bertone et al., 2003; Davis et al., 1993; Glickman et al., 1989; Pogoda and Preston-Martin, 1997). For example, Davis et al. (1993)observed a significant increase in risk of brain cancer in children who were exposed to flea collars on pets, pesticide bombs in the home, and garden use of pesticides . The use of both insecticides and flea and tick dips has previously been associated with increases in risk of canine bladder cancer (Glickman et al., 1989). In addition, a recent case-control study by our research group observed an increase in risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in domestic cats exposed to

Table 3

Age-adjusted and multivariate odds ratios for the association between exposure to professional and self-treated lawn products and canine malignant lymphoma.

Exposure	Professionally applied products		Self-applied products		Professionally or self-applied products		
	Cases:controls	OR (95% CI) ^a	Cases:controls	OR (95% CI) ^a	Cases:controls	OR (95% CI) ^a	
Use of any lawn care products							
No Yes	182:341 74:113	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	149:262 102:182	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	94:173 162:273	1.1 (0.8–1.6)	
Number of lawn care products used							
None	191:365	1	194:333	1	137:242	1	
1	8:26	0.6 (0.3-1.4)	23:77	0.5 (0.3-0.8)	19:89	0.4 (0.2–0.7)	
2	28:41	1.4 (0.8–2.3)	27:41	1.2 (0.7–2.0)	51:73	1.3 (0.8–1.9)	
≥3	38:46	1.5 (0.9–2.5)	22:27	1.2 (0.7–2.3)	59:74	1.3 (0.8–1.9)	
P _{trend}		0.06		0.81		0.15	
Type of lawn car Herbicide	Type of lawn care product Herbicide						
No	204:395	1.4 (1.0-2.1)	222:403	1.0 (0.7-1.5)	165:326	1.3 (0.9-1.8)	
Yes	62 :83		44:75		101:152		
Pesticide							
No	221.429	17(11-27)	226.420	12(07-18)	189.376	14(11-20)	
Yes	45:49	1.7 (1.1 2.7)	40:58	1.2 (0.7 1.0)	77:102	1.1 (1.1 2.0)	
Level Counth D							
Insect Growth Ke	egulators	12(06 26)	252,470	27(1169)	244.452	16(09.20)	
Nos	12.19	1.2 (0.0-2.0)	12.9	2.7 (1.1-0.8)	244.433	1.0 (0.8-2.9)	
105	12.10		15.6		22.25		
Fungicide							
No	257:470	1.8 (0.7-4.8)	264:472	0.7 (0.1-3.8)	255:464	1.4 (0.6–3.2)	
Yes	9:8		2:6		11:14		
Rodenticide							
No	264:473	0.8 (0.2-4.2)	265:474	0.3 (0.04-3.1)	263:470	0.6 (0.2-2.4)	
Yes	2:5		1:4		3:8		
Fortilizor							
No	107.272	12(09 17)	216.202	00(06,14)	157.280	10(09 14)	
Vec	60.106	1.2 (0.0-1.7)	210.303	0.9 (0.0-1.4)	100.180	1.0 (0.0-1.4)	
105	03.100		50.95		105.105		

^a OR, odds ratio from multivariate model; CI, confidence interval. Multivariate relative risks were adjusted for age, reference year, weight, history of cancer, and use of cosequin, chondroitin, and prednisone.

flea control products, especially flea collars (Bertone et al., 2003). We did not observe any association between use of flea and tick control products and risk of CML in the present study.

In our study, we asked dog owners to report use of lawn care and flea/tick control products in the specified 1-year period prior to the year of diagnosis. We hypothesized that the latency period between chemical exposure and the development of malignant lymphoma in dogs would be short, based on data from human studies reporting that patients with Hodgkin's disease have an increased risk of developing NHL within as little as 1 year after their primary diagnosis and treatment (Dong and Hemminki, 2001; Royle et al., 2010; Swerdlow et al., 2000). Although owners may have had difficulty recalling chemical use occurring many years ago and some misclassification of exposure likely exists, exposure levels for pets with relatively short life spans may be consistent over time and thus more easily recalled by owners. For example, risk of CML was positively associated with residential exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) in a case-control study in Colorado (Reif et al., 1995). While each dog's exposure to EMF was only assessed once based on levels at a single residence, this level represented approximately 65% of the dog's lifetime exposure because the subjects spent such a high proportion of their lives in a single home.

The validity of assessing dog's level of exposure to environmental chemicals has been assessed previously. Reynolds et al. (1994) correlated level of urinary excretion of 2,4-D in dogs with known exposure to lawns treated with 2,4-D herbicides and found that exposed dogs absorbed, metabolized, and excreted a substantial biologic dose of herbicide chemicals, in proportion to reported exposure level (Reynolds et al., 1994). In another study, dog owner's report of household smoking level by questionnaire correlated well with dog's urinary level of cotinine, a metabolite of nicotine (Bertone-Johnson et al., 2008).

The response rate in our study was relatively low, likely because of the long interval between year of CML diagnosis and our questionnaire mailing for some animals; in fact, response rate of subjects with earlier reference years (2000-2003) was considerably lower than that for later reference years (2004-2006; 36% vs. 48%). We would not expect owner participation to bias our findings concerning household chemical exposures, as likelihood of response is unlikely to vary substantially by lawn care use, as it may for health-related behaviors such as smoking. In fact, dogs from responders and non-responders differed only slightly by age (7.4 vs. 8.0 years) and frequency of spay/neuter (94% vs. 85%), and response rates were comparable for cases. benign tumor controls and chronic disease controls (42%, 39%, and 37%, respectively). As control dogs were patients in the same tertiary care hospital as case dogs, we would expect similarities in demographic characteristics of case and control owners presenting their dogs for follow-up care after referral from a primary care veterinarian. Furthermore, analyses stratified by reference year did not indicate that the relation of flea/tick control products or lawn-care chemicals with CML risk varied with time, or because of differences in owner characteristics related to reference year.

Our study has notable strengths. Unlike studies focusing on a particular type of pesticide or herbicide, our study has evaluated a heterogeneous group of chemicals and the risk of lymphoma. In addition, we were able to control for confounding by important demographic and behavioral factors. Further, we included two distinct control groups of dogs with different types of disorders (tumor and non-tumor based) from the same tertiary care veterinary hospital to minimize the likelihood of selection and recall biases. While it is possible that recall bias may exist, as CML cases had a serious disease likely to be fatal while controls in general did not, we would expect this bias to apply similarly to all of the environmental factors assessed, and not just to a subset of the lawn care chemicals. Thus recall bias is an unlikely explanation for our findings.

Dogs may serve as sentinels for risks associated with environmental exposures for a variety of reasons (Gavazza et al., 2001; Kelsey et al., 1998). Dogs have environmental exposures similar to their owners because they share the same household. Dose of exposure to environmental chemicals such as lawn care products used at home may be substantial, especially for dogs spending a considerable amount of time outdoors on lawns. Studies of environmental risk factors for CML in dogs are facilitated by dogs having shorter life spans than humans, faster disease progression, and shorter latency periods between exposure and disease compared to humans (MacEwen, 1990; Thrusfield, 1988; Vail and MacEwen, 2000). Because canine and human cancers such as CML and NHL share common etiologic factors (Hayes, 1978; MacEwen, 1990), results from studies of CML may provide insight into the development of human non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Additional studies are needed to further assess the effects of commonly used household chemicals on risk of canine malignant lymphoma, including evaluations of exposure dose and frequency of exposure. In particular, studies using biochemical markers would be useful in disentangling the effects of different chemical components of lawn care products.

5. Conclusions

In summary, findings of this study suggest that exposure to certain types of lawn care chemicals may increase the risk of malignant lymphoma in dogs. Additional studies are needed to further evaluate the effects of specific chemical components of lawn care products on risk of canine malignant lymphoma, and determine whether they may potentially contribute to human NHL as well.

Acknowledgment

Grant support. Supported by Grant no. CA103513 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References

- Bertone, E.R., Snyder, L.A., Moore, A.S., 2003. Environmental and lifestyle risk factors for oral squamous cell carcinoma in domestic cats. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 17, 557–562.
- Bertone-Johnson, E.R., Procter-Gray, E., Gollenberg, A.L., Ryan, M.B., Barber, L.G., 2008. Environmental tobacco smoke and canine urinary cotinine level. Environ. Res. 106, 361–364. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2007.09.007.

- Carlo, G.L., Cole, P., Miller, A.B., Munro, I.C., Solomon, K.R., Squire, R.A., 1992. Review of a study reporting an association between 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and canine malignant lymphoma: report of an expert panel. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 16, 245–252.
- Davis, J.R., Brownson, R.C., Garcia, R., Bentz, B.J., Turner, A., 1993. Family pesticide use and childhood brain cancer. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 24, 87–92.
- Dong, C., Hemminki, K., 2001. Second primary neoplasms among 53 159 haematolymphoproliferative malignancy patients in Sweden, 1958–1996: a search for common mechanisms. Br. J. Cancer 85, 997–1005. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6691998.
- Garabrant, D.H., Philbert, M.A., 2002. Review of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) epidemiology and toxicology. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 32, 233–257. doi:10.1080/20024091064237.
- Gavazza, A., Presciuttini, S., Barale, R., Lubas, G., Gugliucci, B., 2001. Association between canine malignant lymphoma, living in industrial areas, and use of chemicals by dog owners. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 15, 190–195.
- Glickman, L.T., Schofer, F.S., McKee, L.J., Reif, J.S., Goldschmidt, M.H., 1989. Epidemiologic study of insecticide exposures, obesity, and risk of bladder cancer in household dogs. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 28, 407–414.
- Hayes Jr, H.H., 1978. The comparative epidemiology of selected neoplasms between dogs, cats and humans. A review. Eur. J. Cancer 14, 1299–1308.
- Hayes, H.M., Tarone, R.E., Cantor, K.P., 1995. On the association between canine malignant lymphoma and opportunity for exposure to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. Environ. Res. 70, 119–125. doi:10.1006/enrs.1995.1056.
- Hayes, H.M., Tarone, R.E., Cantor, K.P., Jessen, C.R., McCurnin, D.M., Richardson, R.C., 1991. Case–control study of canine malignant lymphoma: positive association with dog owner's use of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid herbicides. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 83, 1226–1231.
- Hooiveld, M., Heederik, D.J., Kogevinas, M., Boffetta, P., Needham, L.L., Patterson Jr, D.G., Bueno-de-Mesquita, H.B., 1998. Second follow-up of a Dutch cohort occupationally exposed to phenoxy herbicides, chlorophenols, and contaminants. Am. J. Epidemiol. 147, 891–901.
- Howlader N., Noone A.M., Krapcho M., Neyman N., Aminou R., Waldron W., Altekruse S.F., Kosary C.L., Ruhl J., Tatalovich Z., Cho H., Mariotto A., Eisner M.P., Lewis D.R., Chen H.S., Feuer E.J., Cronin K.A., Edwards B.K. (Eds). SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2008, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD, < http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2008/>, based on November 2010 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, 2011.
- Kaneene, J.B., Miller, R., 1999. Re-analysis of 2,4-D use and the occurrence of canine malignant lymphoma. Vet. Hum. Toxicol. 41, 164–170.
- Kelsey, J.L., Moore, A.S., Glickman, L.T., 1998. Epidemiologic studies of risk factors for cancer in pet dogs. Epidemiol. Rev. 20, 204–217.
- MacEwen, E.G., 1990. Spontaneous tumors in dogs and cats: models for the study of cancer biology and treatment. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 9, 125–136.
- McDuffie, H.H., Pahwa, P., McLaughlin, J.R., Spinelli, J.J., Fincham, S., Dosman, J.A., Robson, D., Skinnider, L.F., Choi, N.W., 2001. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and specific pesticide exposures in men: cross-Canada study of pesticides and health. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 10, 1155–1163.
- Pogoda, J.M., Preston-Martin, S., 1997. Household pesticides and risk of pediatric brain tumors. Environ. Health Perspect. 105, 1214–1220.
- Reif, J.S., Lower, K.S., Ogilvie, G.K., 1995. Residential exposure to magnetic fields and risk of canine lymphoma. Am. J. Epidemiol. 141, 352–359.
- Reynolds, P.M., Reif, J.S., Ramsdell, H.S., Tessari, J.D., 1994. Canine exposure to herbicide- treated lawns and urinary excretion of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 3, 233–237.
- Royle, J.S., Baade, P., Joske, D., Fritschi, L., 2010. Risk of second cancer after lymphohematopoietic neoplasm. Int. J. Cancer. 10.1002/ijc.25706.
- Swerdlow, A.J., Barber, J.A., Hudson, G.V., Cunningham, D., Gupta, R.K., Hancock, B.W., Horwich, A., Lister, T.A., Linch, D.C., 2000. Risk of second malignancy after Hodgkin's disease in a collaborative British cohort: the relation to age at treatment. J. Clin. Oncol. 18, 498–509.
- Teske, E., 1994. Canine malignant lymphoma: a review and comparison with human non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Vet. Q 16, 209–219.
- Thorn, A., Gustavsson, P., Sadigh, J., Westerlund-Hannestrand, B., Hogstedt, C., 2000. Mortality and cancer incidence among Swedish lumberjacks exposed to phenoxy herbicides. Occup. Environ. Med. 57, 718–720.
- Thrusfield, M., 1988. Companion animal epidemiology: its contribution to human medicine. Acta Vet. Scand. Suppl. 84, 57–65.
- Vail, D.M., MacEwen, E.G., 2000. Spontaneously occurring tumors of companion animals as models for human cancer. Cancer Invest. 18, 781–792.